1	2	3
11.	Jharkhand	24.51
12.	Karnataka	70.17
13.	Kerala	8.63
14.	Madhya Pradesh	48.72
15.	Maharashtra	3867
16.	Manipur	19.21
17.	Meghalaya	7J63
18.	Mizoram	4597
19.	Nagaland	2831
20.	Orissa	3433
21.	Punjab	492
22.	Rajasthan	17.83
23.	Sikkim	17.99
24.	Tamil Nadu	50.08
25.	Tripura	16.05
26.	Uttar Pradesh	70.62
27.	Uttaranchal	2131
28.	West Bengal	18.40
	TOOL:	751.68

Pending project report for ~~development~~ of townships on different rivers in Maharashtra

1860. SHRI VUAY J. DARDA: WW the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to stale:

(a) whether project reports for development of townships on different rivers in Maharashtra have been submitted to the Central Government for approval under the National River Action Plan, under the Tenth Five Year Plan;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the cost of the different projects, and since when the same are pending;

(c) the reasons for delay, at what stage they are pending and by when the same are likely to be cleared for implementation; and

(d) the cost escalation incurred?

THE MINISTER OF STATE THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA). (a) to (c) No project reports for development of township on different rivers in Maharashtra have been received in this Ministry. However, the Government of Maharashtra has submitted river pollution abatement projects reports for Kolhapur town along river Panchganga (costing Rs. 49.74 crore) in the year 2002, and in the year 2004 for Wai town along river Krishna (costing Rs. 53.83 crore), Pandharpur town along river Bhima (costing Rs. 26.61 crore), Prakasha town along river Tapi (costing Rs. 4.62 crore), Parthan town along river Godavari (costing Rs. 12.80 crore), and Nawapur town along river Rangawali (costing Rs. 4.55 crore) for approval under the National River Conservation Plan during the Tenth Five Year Plan. These projects could not be considered for approval due to constraint of funds during the Tenth Five Year Plan. However, it was suggested that the State Government may undertake these projects out of the State Plan provisions by seeking necessary funds from the Planning Commission including exporing the possibility of initiating the projects with public-private partnership.

(d) Does not arise.

Vaippar-River-Linking Project

1861. SHRI K.CHANDRAN PILLAI. Withe Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is proposal for the Vaippar-River^Jriking project pending with Government;

(b) if so. the details thereof,

(c) whether Government conducted detailed studies on the impact of such a project would have on the environment in the Southern Kerala;

(d) whether Government considered the fact that most of the related areas in Kerala experience acute water shortage particularly in the summer season;

(e) if so, whether the projects, if implemented, further accelerate the situation: and

(F)if so, the details thereof?